

Indicators of Poverty **- An update for 2010 -**

Background and Purpose

This paper provides an update on Hong Kong's poverty indicators (see **Annex I**) for 2010. A detailed analysis is at **Annex II**, with the relevant data given at the **Statistical Appendix**. This set of 24 multi-dimensional poverty indicators is designed to provide a broad picture of how the poverty situation is evolving and to identify areas for further study. It provides useful information and analysis for Government Bureaux and departments in formulating and evaluating policies to assist the needy.

2. The concept of poverty is open to different interpretations. Established international standards for defining individuals living in poverty must be appropriately qualified when applied to specific places. Each place should therefore adopt a benchmark adjusted to its particular circumstances, especially for the purpose of poverty monitoring and policy formulation. In Hong Kong, the former Commission on Poverty (CoP) agreed that no single factor or figure can be relied upon to provide an in-depth understanding of the poverty situation. As a result, a set of multi-dimensional indicators has been used to analyse the poverty situation and support the formulation and evaluation of policies to assist the needy.

Highlights of Analysis

3. In 2010, nearly all of the 18 life-cycle-based indicators exhibited improvements over a year earlier. Only 2 indicators showed mixed performance. As for the 6 community-based indicators, we also saw distinct improvements in most of the districts in 2010 as compared to 2009. Improvements were most distinct in Sham Shui Po, Wong Tai Sin and Sha Tin districts, with all the 6 indicators showing improvements.

Life-cycle-based Poverty Indicators

4. As compared with 2009, the performance of the following 16 indicators improved in 2010:

- Persons living in workless households (Indicators 1 and 9);
- Persons living in low-income households (Indicators 2 and 10);

- ❑ Unemployed persons, and persons unemployed for 6 months or longer, and 12 months or longer (Indicators 11 and 12);
- ❑ Employed persons working 35 hours or above per week with monthly employment earnings less than 50% of the median (Indicator 13);
- ❑ Children, adult able-bodied and old-age Comprehensive Social Security Assistance (CSSA) recipients (Indicators 4, 14 and 16);
- ❑ Children and elderly persons living in private temporary housing and private shared units (Indicators 8 and 18);
- ❑ School attendance rate of youth aged 16-19 (Indicator 5);
- ❑ Persons aged 20-24 with tertiary education (Indicator 6);
- ❑ Non-engaged youth aged 15-19 and 20-24 (Indicator 7); and
- ❑ Elderly patients under the medical fee waiver mechanism of public hospitals and clinics (Indicator 17).

5. 2 indicators exhibited mixed performance:

- ❑ Children with single parent and in low-income households (Indicator 3); and
- ❑ Adult recipients of permanent disability / temporary disability / ill health CSSA (Indicator 15).

Community-based Poverty Indicators

6. Most of the districts saw improvements in the 6 community-based indicators in 2010. In particular, among the 18 districts:

- ❑ 17 registered improvements in the proportion of low-income households (Indicator 20);
- ❑ 17 experienced declines in unemployment rate (Indicator 24);
- ❑ 12 recorded declines in the proportion of workless households (Indicator 19);
- ❑ 12 exhibited improvements in median monthly household income (Indicator 22);
- ❑ 10 had improved median monthly employment earnings (Indicator 23); and
- ❑ 4 recorded declines in the proportion of single parent low-income households (Indicator 21).

7. In aggregate terms, nearly all the 6 community-based indicators showed improvements. The numbers and proportions of workless households (Indicator 19), low-income households (Indicator 20) and single parent low-income households

(Indicator 21) fell in 2010 over 2009. The number of unemployed persons and its corresponding unemployment rate (Indicator 24) also declined over the period. While the median monthly employment earnings (Indicator 23) held stable, the median monthly household income (Indicator 22) increased.

8. Comparing the indicators for 2010 with 2009, all the 6 community-based indicators improved in Sham Shui Po, Wong Tai Sin and Sha Tin, while Central and Western, Wan Chai, Sai Kung and North districts enjoyed improvements in five of them. Among the less well-off districts¹, Sham Shui Po and Wong Tai Sin enjoyed improvements in all six indicators. Going down the list, North and Tuen Mun districts attained improvements in five and four indicators respectively.

Outlook

9. The poverty situation showed noticeable improvement throughout 2010, owing to the sustained economic growth over the period. Latest labour market conditions have remained relatively tight, with total employment reaching another record high of 3 625 800 in May – July 2011. Meanwhile, the unemployment rate declined to 3.4% over the same period, very close to the post-1997 low of 3.3% in mid-2008. Wages and earnings also continued to rise in tandem. Looking ahead, these favourable developments would continue to provide support to the improving poverty situation. Nevertheless, the level of uncertainty in the external environment has been increasing since the beginning of the year. The fragile economic recovery and fiscal positions of the US and the lingering sovereign debt problem in the eurozone remain the key sources of downside risks to the global economy. The austerity measures being implemented in the advanced economies to redress their fiscal weakness may also weigh on their growth momentum in the period ahead. The Government will stay vigilant and monitor the poverty situation closely so as to provide timely assistance to the needy.

Economic Analysis and Business Facilitation Unit
Financial Secretary's Office
September 2011

¹ Less well-off districts refer to districts whose median monthly household income (Indicator 22) and median monthly employment earnings (Indicator 23) together are lower than their respective overall average levels in 2010. They include Sham Shui Po, Wong Tai Sin, Kwun Tong, Kwai Tsing, Tuen Mun, Yuen Long and North districts.

Indicators of Poverty

Children / youth (aged 0-14 / 15-24)
1. Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 living in workless households
2. Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 living in households with income below average CSSA payment
3. Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 with single parent and in households with income below average CSSA payment
4. CSSA recipients aged 0-5 and 6-14, and those aged 15-21 who are on child rate
5. School attendance rate of youth aged 16-19
6. Persons aged 20-24 with tertiary education
7. Non-engaged youth aged 15-19 and 20-24
8. Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 living in private temporary housing and private shared units
Working people / adults (aged 15-59)
9. Persons aged 15-19 and 20-59 living in workless households
10. Persons aged 15-19 and 20-59 living in households with income below average CSSA payment
11. Unemployed persons aged 15-19, 20-24 and 25-59
12. Persons unemployed for 6 months or longer, and 12 months or longer
13. Employed persons aged 15-19, 20-24 and 25-59 working 35 hours or above per week and with monthly employment earnings less than 50% of the median
14. Adult able-bodied CSSA recipients having been on CSSA for 1 year or less, and longer than 1 year
15. Adult recipients of permanent disability / temporary disability / ill health CSSA
Older people (aged 60 or above)
16. Recipients of old-age CSSA
17. Elderly patients under the medical fee waiver mechanism of public hospitals and clinics
18. Elderly persons living in private temporary housing and private shared units
Community
19. Workless households by district
20. Households with income below average CSSA payment by district
21. Single parent households with income below average CSSA payment by district
22. Median monthly household income by district
23. Median monthly employment earnings by district
24. Unemployed persons and unemployment rate by district

**Indicators of Poverty
- An update for 2010 -**

Background and Purpose

Based on the poverty analysis framework established by the former CoP, the Task Force on Poverty (TFP), chaired by the Secretary for Labour and Welfare, has been providing annual updates on a set of 24 multi-dimensional poverty indicators for monitoring the poverty situation in Hong Kong at a macro level. It is important to note that these poverty indicators should not be simplistically employed to assess the progress of the Government's poverty alleviation work, as many indicators are subject to short-term fluctuations and the influence of factors other than Government policies and actions.

2. The underlying rationale of the multi-dimensional approach is that given Hong Kong's general affluence, it would not be appropriate to gauge the situation of the poor on the basis of a single income-based indicator or a single poverty line. We should also be concerned about the other aspects of life and livelihood, and be vigilant about the risk of certain groups falling into poverty.

3. The purpose of this paper is to present data on the poverty situation for 2010. The following paragraphs provide a wide-ranging but integrated view of the performance of the poverty indicators by segregating life-cycle-based indicators 1-18 into items exhibiting improvements; items showing mixed performance and those performing less satisfactorily in 2010 as compared to 2009. Community-based indicators 19-24 will be discussed separately, as the performance of the individual indicators varied among districts. The detailed statistics for the indicators are listed at the **Statistical Appendix**.

Performance of the Life-cycle-based Indicators

Indicators exhibiting improvements in 2010

4. As compared with 2009, the following 16 indicators improved in 2010:

Employment and Earnings / Income

- *Persons aged 0-14 and 15-59 living in workless households (Indicators 1*

and 9)

Both the numbers of children aged 0-14 and persons aged 15-59 living in workless households edged down over the year, from 77 200 and 274 100 in 2009 to 76 800 and 271 400 in 2010 respectively. While the proportion of the former group remained unchanged at 9.0%, that of the latter group decreased slightly from 6.0% to 5.9% over the period. In sum, the proportion of persons aged 0-59 living in workless households fell marginally from 6.5% to 6.4% over the period.

- *Persons aged 0-14 and 15-59 living in low-income households (Indicators 2 and 10)*

The numbers of children aged 0-14 and persons aged 15-59 living in households with income below average CSSA payment likewise declined in 2010, from 151 700 and 484 800 in 2009 to 132 200 and 424 900 respectively. The corresponding proportions came down visibly from 17.6% and 10.6% to 15.5% and 9.3%.

If household income is used as the sole criterion to define the size of poor population, these 2 indicators together would suggest a total of 557 100 persons aged 0-59 living in poverty in 2010 as a whole. Taking into account the poor elderly persons², the size of the poor population would come to 759 900. Compared with the 2009 figure, this represents a distinct decrease of 78 400 persons, reflecting an outcome of the solid economic growth with improving labour market and income conditions during the year.

- *Unemployed persons aged 15-19, 20-24 and 25-59 and persons unemployed for 6 months or longer, and 12 months or longer (Indicators 11 and 12)*

Labour demand remained robust, leading to a full-fledged improvement in the unemployment situation. The number of unemployed persons aged 15-59 declined from 191 800 in 2009 to 156 300 in 2010, with the unemployment rate significantly down from 5.5% to 4.5%. Meanwhile, both the numbers of persons unemployed for 6 months or longer and 12 months or longer decreased by 6 400 and 500 to 47 300 and 26 400 respectively. The former long-term unemployment rate came down from 1.5% to 1.3%, while the latter held steady at 0.7% over the same period.

² Poor elderly persons refer to recipients of old-age CSSA and / or living in private temporary housing and private shared units.

- *Employed persons aged 15-19, 20-24 and 25-59 working 35 hours or above per week with monthly employment earnings less than 50% of the median (Indicator 13)*

The total number of working poor among these three age groups decreased appreciably from 146 000 in 2009 to 121 700 in 2010, and the respective population share from 5.6% to 4.5%.

Community / Family Support

- *Children, adult able-bodied and old-age CSSA recipients (Indicators 4, 14 and 16)*

The number of CSSA recipients aged 0-21 who were on child rate decreased notably by 9 200, from 130 300 at end-2009 to 121 100 at end-2010. The population share declined from 8.8% to 8.3% correspondingly.

The number of adult able-bodied recipients likewise decreased from 108 800 at end-2009 to 100 500 at end-2010, while that of old-age recipients aged 60 or above rose from 187 900 to 188 300 over the same period. Nevertheless, the shares in their corresponding age groups decreased, from 2.2% and 14.9% to 2.0% and 14.3%.

Living Conditions

- *Children and elderly persons living in private temporary housing and private shared units (Indicators 8 and 18)*

The number and proportion of children aged 0-14 living in such housing shrank further, to 9 800 and 1.1% in 2010 from 11 300 and 1.3% in 2009 respectively. For elders aged 60 or above, the number went down from 20 100 to 17 700, with the respective population share decreasing from 1.8% to 1.5%.

Education

- *School attendance rate of youth aged 16-19 (Indicator 5)*

In 2010, the school attendance rate rose to 88.7%, up from 86.7% a year earlier.

□ *Persons aged 20-24 with tertiary education (Indicator 6)*

The number and proportion of persons aged 20-24 with tertiary education increased from 266 200 and 59.7% in 2009 to 273 800 and 62.3% in 2010 respectively. Amongst these individuals, 65.1% were degree holders, slightly higher than 63.5% in 2009.

□ *Non-engaged youth aged 15-24 (Indicator 7)*

Though the proportion of non-engaged youth aged 15-24 remained virtually unchanged at 2.2%, its corresponding number decreased from 19 300 in 2009 to 18 800 in 2010.

Health

□ *Number of elderly patients under the medical fee waiver mechanism of public hospitals and clinics (Indicator 17)*

In 2010/11, there were 14 700 medical fee waiver recipients aged 60 and over in public hospitals, notably lower than 17 900 in 2009/10. The respective share also decreased by 0.3 percentage point to 1.3%.

Indicators showing mixed performance in 2010

5. 2 life-cycle-based indicators have exhibited mixed performance over the same period of comparison:

Community / Family Support

□ *Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 with single parent and in low-income households (Indicator 3)*

The number of children aged 0-14 for this category increased marginally from 24 100 in 2009 to 24 200 in 2010. Nevertheless, the respective share held stable at 2.8%.

□ *Adult recipients of permanent disability / temporary disability / ill health CSSA (Indicator 15)*

The number of these CSSA recipients rose marginally by 1 000 to 56 000 at end-2010 from 55 100 at end-2009, though the proportion remained the

same at 1.1%.

Performance of the Community-based Indicators

6. Most of the districts saw improvements in the 6 community-based indicators in 2010. In particular, among the 18 districts:

- 17 registered improvements in the proportion of low-income households (Indicator 20);
- 17 experienced declines in unemployment rate (Indicator 24);
- 12 recorded declines in the proportion of workless households (Indicator 19);
- 12 exhibited improvements in median monthly household income (Indicator 22);
- 10 had improved median monthly employment earnings (Indicator 23); and
- 4 recorded declines in the proportion of single parent low-income households (Indicator 21).

7. In aggregate terms, nearly all the 6 community-based indicators showed improvements:

- The number and proportion of workless households (Indicator 19) declined to 184 900 and 9.1% in 2010 from 186 200 and 9.2% in 2009 respectively;
- The number of low-income households (Indicator 20) also decreased from 243 100 in 2009 to 217 500 in 2010. The corresponding share fell from 12.0% to 10.7%;
- The number of single parent low-income households decreased from 26 200 to 25 300, with the corresponding proportion down from 1.3% to 1.2% (Indicator 21);
- The number of unemployed persons decreased from 196 700 to 161 200, with the unemployment rate down from 5.4% to 4.4% (Indicator 24); and
- While the median monthly employment earnings (Indicator 23) remained stable at \$11,000, the median monthly household income (Indicator 22) increased from \$17,100 in 2009 to \$18,000 in 2010.

8. **Appendix I** compares the performance of relevant indicators in each district in 2010 relative to that in 2009. All the 6 community-based indicators improved in Sham Shui Po, Wong Tai Sin and Sha Tin, while Central and Western, Wan Chai, Sai

Kung and North districts enjoyed improvements in five of them.

9. Among the less well-off districts, Sham Shui Po and Wong Tai Sin enjoyed improvements in all six indicators in 2010. Going down the list, North and Tuen Mun districts attained improvements in five and four indicators respectively. Kwun Tong, Kwai Tsing and Yuen Long districts also had 2 indicators improved.

10. **Appendix II** highlights the performance from another perspective. In 2010, 7 districts, namely Sham Shui Po, Wong Tai Sin, Kwun Tong, Kwai Tsing, Tuen Mun, Yuen Long and North districts performed less well than the overall average / median on all the 6 indicators.

Concluding Remarks

11. Nearly all of the 18 life-cycle-based indicators exhibited improvements in 2010 over a year earlier. Only 2 indicators showed mixed performance. As for the 6 community-based indicators, we also saw distinct improvements in most of the districts in 2010 as compared to 2009. Amongst the less well-off districts, the improvements were most distinct in Sham Shui Po and Wong Tai Sin districts, with all the 6 indicators showing improvements.

12. The almost across-the-board improvement of poverty indicators in 2010 once again demonstrates the importance of economic growth in poverty alleviation. With the labour market situation improving progressively in tandem with the sustained economic momentum, total employment increased noticeably and the employment earnings of workers in the lower-skilled segment also picked up, showing that the needy have also shared the fruits of the economic recovery. In view of the growing inflationary pressure and uncertainties surrounding the external environment, the Government will stay vigilant and monitor the poverty situation closely so as to provide timely assistance to the needy.

Economic Analysis and Business Facilitation Unit
Financial Secretary's Office
September 2011

A Comparison of the Community-based Poverty Indicators between 2009 and 2010

In the following table, the indicators which performed better in 2010 than in 2009 in the individual districts are marked by a “✓”. The shaded rows represent the districts having more distinct improvements in 2010.

Indicators	19	20	21	22	23	24	Total
	Proportion of workless households	Proportion of low-income households	Proportion of single parent low-income households ⁺	Median monthly household income	Median monthly employment earnings	Unemployment rate	Number of indicators registered improvements
Central & Western ⁺	✓	✓	/	✓	✓	✓	5
Wan Chai ⁺	✓	✓	/	✓	✓	✓	5
Eastern		✓		✓		✓	3
Southern ⁺	✓	✓	/			✓	3
Yau Tsim Mong ⁺	✓	✓	/	✓		✓	4
Sham Shui Po*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	6
Kowloon City ⁺		✓	/		✓	✓	3
Wong Tai Sin*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	6
Kwun Tong*		✓				✓	2
Kwai Tsing*					✓	✓	2
Tsuen Wan ⁺	✓	✓	/	✓		✓	4
Tuen Mun*		✓		✓	✓	✓	4
Yuen Long*		✓				✓	2
North*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		5
Tai Po ⁺	✓	✓	/	✓		✓	4
Sha Tin	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	6
Sai Kung ⁺	✓	✓	/	✓	✓	✓	5
Islands ⁺	✓	✓	/			✓	3
Total	12	17	4	12	10	17	72

Notes: (*) Less well-off districts refer to districts whose median monthly household income (Indicator 22) and median monthly employment earnings (Indicator 23) together are lower than their respective overall average levels in 2010.

(+) Comparisons for Indicator 21 are not undertaken for districts of Central & Western, Wan Chai, Southern, Yau Tsim Mong, Kowloon City, Tsuen Wan, Tai Po, Sai Kung and Islands due to relatively large sampling errors.

A Comparison of the Community-based Poverty Indicators with the Overall Average

In the following table, the indicators which performed less well in the individual districts as compared to the overall average / median in 2010 are marked by a “×”. The shaded rows are the districts where all the 6 indicators showed below average performance.

Indicators	19	20	21	22	23	24
	Proportion higher than the overall average :			Median below the overall median :		Unemployment rate above the overall average
	Workless households	Low-income households	Single parent low-income households ⁺	Monthly household income	Monthly employment earnings	
Central & Western ⁺						
Wan Chai ⁺						
Eastern						
Southern ⁺						
Yau Tsim Mong ⁺						
Sham Shui Po	×	×	×	×	×	×
Kowloon City ⁺	×					
Wong Tai Sin	×	×	×	×	×	×
Kwun Tong	×	×	×	×	×	×
Kwai Tsing	×	×	×	×	×	×
Tsuen Wan			×			
Tuen Mun	×	×	×	×	×	×
Yuen Long	×	×	×	×	×	×
North	×	×	×	×	×	×
Tai Po ⁺						
Sha Tin						
Sai Kung ⁺						
Islands ⁺				×		
Overall average / median	9.1%	10.7%	1.2%	\$18,000	\$11,000	4.4%

Note: (+) Comparisons for Indicator 21 are not undertaken for districts of Central & Western, Wan Chai, Southern, Yau Tsim Mong, Kowloon City, Tai Po, Sai Kung and Islands due to relatively large sampling errors.

貧窮指標
Indicators of Poverty

第 I 部分 Section I	0至14歲及15至24歲兒童／青少年的指標 Indicators for Children / Youth Aged 0-14 and 15-24
第 II 部分 Section II	15至59歲在職人士／成人的指標 Indicators for Working People / Adults Aged 15-59
第 III 部分 Section III	60歲或以上長者的指標 Indicators for Older People Aged 60 or Above
第 IV 部分 Section IV	社區的指標 Indicators for the Community

註
Notes

- (1) 除非另有訂明，否則所編訂的各項指標並不涵蓋外籍家庭傭工。
Unless otherwise stated, foreign domestic helpers are excluded from the compilation of all the indicators.
- (2) 政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查及醫院管理局的數據均為每年平均數字，而社會福利署有關綜合社會保障援助受助人的數據則為期末數字。
While data from the General Household Survey of the Census and Statistics Department and from the Hospital Authority are annual average figures, those on recipients of Comprehensive Social Security Assistance from the Social Welfare Department are period-end figures.
- (3) 第IV部分以地區為本的指標，是根據每年一月至十二月進行的綜合住戶統計調查所得的數據及由一個跨部門的人口分布推算小組編製的分區年中人口估計數字所訂定的。
The district-based indicators under Section IV are based on the data collected in the General Household Survey in January to December of the year, as well as the mid-year population estimates by district as compiled by an inter-departmental Working Group on Population Distribution Projections.
- (4) 由於使用更加合適的方法來估算低收入住戶及這些住戶的人數，因此部分貧窮指標的時間數列已作出輕微修訂。
The time series of some poverty indicators have been revised slightly due to the use of a more compatible method in sorting out low-income households and the number of persons therein.

第 I 部分：0至14歲及15至24歲兒童／青少年的指標
Section I : Indicators for Children / Youth Aged 0-14 and 15-24


1. 無業家庭的0至5歲及6至14歲兒童
Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 living in workless households

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0-5	19 700	25 900	25 000	21 700	22 900	20 100	17 900	18 600	19 400	20 700
6-14	66 700	85 800	85 800	79 600	75 700	71 300	61 500	58 900	57 800	56 100
0-14	86 400	111 800	110 800	101 300	98 600	91 400	79 400	77 500	77 200	76 800

按年齡組別劃分在無業家庭生活的兒童的比率
Proportion of children living in workless households by age group



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

2. 入息低於平均綜援金額的家庭的0至5歲及6至14歲兒童
Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 living in households with income below average CSSA payment

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0-5	55 500	55 000	53 600	46 500	44 600	40 400	36 900	34 600	41 800	38 000
6-14	199 400	202 300	187 300	171 900	150 200	133 100	115 200	101 900	109 800	94 200
0-14	254 900	257 300	240 900	218 500	194 900	173 500	152 100	136 500	151 700	132 200




資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。

Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

3. 單親及入息低於平均綜援金額的家庭的0至5歲及6至14歲兒童
 Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 with single parent and in households with income below average CSSA payment

人數
 Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0-5	2 400	2 800	2 300	2 500	2 300	2 500	2 400	3 100	3 000	3 600
6-14	21 400	24 700	21 200	22 800	21 800	21 400	19 500	18 100	21 100	20 600
0-14	23 800	27 600	23 500	25 300	24 100	23 900	21 900	21 300	24 100	24 200



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

4. 0至5歲和6至14歲的綜援受助人，以及15至21歲的兒童綜援受助人
 CSSA recipients aged 0-5 and 6-14, and those aged 15-21 who are on child rate

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0-5	18 200	22 300	25 300	26 200	24 900	23 000	20 900	19 700	20 600	19 700
6-14	70 800	84 400	93 600	95 600	91 800	85 000	76 500	69 700	67 800	62 800
15-21	26 300	33 000	39 500	44 300	46 400	45 800	44 300	41 900	41 900	38 600
0-21	115 200	139 700	158 400	166 100	163 200	153 800	141 700	131 300	130 300	121 100




資料來源：社會福利署。

Source : Social Welfare Department.

5. 16至19歲青少年的就學比率
School attendance rate of youth aged 16-19

人數
Number

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
277 800	272 900	265 100	279 700	285 700	292 500	296 900	301 200	306 300	307 200




資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。
 Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

6. 20至24歲具專上教育程度人士
Persons aged 20-24 with tertiary education

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
非學位 Non-degree	73 600	80 200	81 700	81 700	85 200	90 600	89 600	93 300	97 100	95 600
學位 Degree	126 300	122 700	125 200	137 900	144 700	152 400	157 300	157 600	169 100	178 200
總數 Total	200 000	202 900	206 900	219 700	229 900	243 000	246 900	250 900	266 200	273 800



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。

Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.


7. 15至19歲及20至24歲的待業待學青少年
Non-engaged youth aged 15-19 and 20-24

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15-19	11 100	11 100	11 300	10 900	9 800	10 000	10 000	9 400	7 100	6 900
20-24	9 000	9 700	10 400	11 700	11 900	11 800	11 500	13 100	12 200	11 900
15-24	20 100	20 700	21 700	22 600	21 700	21 700	21 600	22 500	19 300	18 800

按年齡組別劃分待業待學青少年的比率
Proportion of non-engaged youth by age group



註：就這個指標來說，“待業待學青少年”指15至24歲並非因“在學”、“料理家務”或“健康問題”而沒有從事經濟活動的人士。這個年齡組別的失業青少年並不包括在內。有關待業待學青少年及失業青少年的詳細定義，可參閱扶貧委員會文件第26/2005號附件II 第6段註腳(1)。

Note: In this indicator, "non-engaged youth" refer to persons aged 15-24 who are economically inactive for reasons other than "students", "home-makers" and "health problems". Unemployed youth of this age group are not included. For further details about the definitions of non-engaged youth and unemployed youth, reference can be made to Footnote (1) under paragraph 6 of Annex II in CoP Paper 26/2005.

資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source: General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

8. 在私人臨時房屋及私人樓宇共住單位居住的0至5歲及6至14歲兒童
 Children aged 0-5 and 6-14 living in private temporary housing and private shared units

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0-5	10 000	9 200	6 100	5 400	5 800	5 400	4 400	3 600	4 100	3 600
6-14	24 800	16 900	12 500	10 000	8 900	8 200	7 100	7 900	7 200	6 200
0-14	34 800	26 100	18 600	15 400	14 700	13 600	11 500	11 500	11 300	9 800



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。

Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

第 II 部分：15至59歲在職人士／成人的指標
Section II : Indicators for Working People / Adults Aged 15-59


9. 無業家庭的15至19歲及20至59歲人士
Persons aged 15-19 and 20-59 living in workless households

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15-19	30 400	38 000	42 500	39 500	41 300	38 500	35 500	35 100	36 300	36 700
20-59	169 900	219 700	251 600	236 300	233 000	229 800	213 700	209 800	237 800	234 700
15-59	200 400	257 700	294 000	275 900	274 300	268 200	249 300	244 900	274 100	271 400

按年齡組別劃分在無業家庭生活的人士的比率
Proportion of persons living in workless households by age group



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。

Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.


10. 入息低於平均綜援金額的家庭的15至19歲及20至59歲人士
Persons aged 15-19 and 20-59 living in households with income below average CSSA payment

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15-19	97 200	102 600	98 300	93 800	89 200	80 000	73 900	67 900	83 000	69 900
20-59	444 700	489 300	489 700	456 200	414 100	377 900	341 800	312 600	401 800	355 000
15-59	542 000	592 000	588 000	550 000	503 300	457 900	415 700	380 500	484 800	424 900

按年齡組別劃分在入息低於平均綜援金額家庭生活的人士的比率
 Proportion of persons living in households with income below average CSSA payment by age group



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

11. 15至19歲、20至24歲及25至59歲失業人士
Unemployed persons aged 15-19, 20-24 and 25-59

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15-19	17 000	22 600	21 000	17 700	13 800	13 100	12 500	9 200	11 700	8 900
20-24	29 000	36 600	37 400	30 500	28 600	27 300	22 300	22 100	33 700	30 700
25-59	125 300	189 000	211 700	184 600	150 800	127 500	107 700	96 200	146 500	116 700
15-59	171 200	248 300	270 200	232 700	193 100	167 900	142 500	127 400	191 800	156 300



註：為這個指標所編製的失業人士數目及相應失業率涵蓋外籍家庭傭工，使其與一般公布的失業統計數字一致。然而，外籍家庭傭工對這個指標的影響不大。

Note: In order for the number of unemployed persons and the corresponding unemployment rates compiled for this indicator to be consistent with the unemployment statistics normally released, foreign domestic helpers (FDHs) have not been excluded. Yet FDHs should have insignificant effect on this indicator.

資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。

Source: General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.


12. 失業6個月或以上及12個月或以上的人士
Persons unemployed for 6 months or longer, and 12 months or longer

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
6個月或以上	39 300	73 300	84 500	81 600	60 100	44 900	36 200	27 200	53 700	47 300
6 months or longer										
12個月或以上	19 700	38 500	45 500	49 600	36 000	26 300	19 700	14 300	26 900	26 400
12 months or longer										

按持續失業期間劃分的長期失業率
Long-term unemployment rate by duration of unemployment



註：為這個指標所編製的長期失業人士數目及相應長期失業率涵蓋外籍家庭傭工，使其與一般公布的失業統計數字一致。然而，外籍家庭傭工對這個指標的影響不大。

Note: In order for the number of long-term unemployed persons and the corresponding long-term unemployment rates compiled for this indicator to be consistent with the unemployment statistics normally released, foreign domestic helpers (FDHs) have not been excluded. Yet FDHs should have insignificant effect on this indicator.

資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source: General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

13. 每周工作35小时或以上而每月就业收入少于中位数50%的15至19岁、20至24岁及25至59岁受僱人士
Employed persons aged 15-19, 20-24 and 25-59 working 35 hours or above per week and with monthly employment earnings less than 50% of the median

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15-19	12 700	16 300	12 300	14 100	11 500	13 700	12 300	9 000	8 200	6 300
20-24	16 900	24 400	19 400	21 500	18 900	26 600	22 100	15 000	14 100	11 600
25-59	147 200	175 400	141 600	140 700	126 200	171 600	161 400	133 500	123 700	103 800
15-59	176 900	216 100	173 400	176 300	156 600	211 900	195 800	157 500	146 000	121 700



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。

Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

14. 領取綜援一年或不足一年及超過一年的健全成人


Adult able-bodied CSSA recipients having been on CSSA for 1 year or less, and longer than 1 year

人數

Number

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
一年或不足一年 1 year or less	23 300	37 300	38 900	26 000	19 500	15 600	11 900	11 300	15 800	10 700
超過一年 Longer than 1 year	63 100	79 200	101 100	116 700	118 900	112 600	104 100	95 500	93 000	89 800
總數 Total	86 400	116 500	140 000	142 800	138 400	128 200	116 000	106 800	108 800	100 500

按持續領取綜援期間劃分的健全綜援受助成人的比率
Proportion of adult able-bodied CSSA recipients by duration on CSSA



註： 這個指標並不包括15至21歲領取兒童綜援金的人士，他們與其他領取兒童綜援金的人士同時列入第四個指標。

Note: This indicator excludes recipients aged 15-21 who are on child rate. They have been grouped under Indicator 4 along with other child rate CSSA recipients.

資料來源：社會福利署。


Source: Social and Welfare Department.

15. 因永久傷殘/暫時傷殘/健康欠佳領取綜援的成人

Adult recipients of permanent disability/temporary disability/ill health CSSA

人數
Number

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
35 900	40 300	44 800	48 400	50 700	51 800	52 000	52 500	55 100	56 000



資料來源：社會福利署。

Source : Social and Welfare Department.

第 III 部分：60歲或以上長者的指標
Section III : Indicators for Older People Aged 60 or Above


16. 高齡綜接受助人
Recipients of old-age CSSA

人數

Number

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
60歲或以上 Aged 60 or above	160 000	170 500	179 300	184 800	187 700	187 800	187 300	185 000	187 900	188 300
65歲或以上 Aged 65 or above	140 000	149 000	156 500	161 400	164 500	164 100	163 100	160 500	161 600	160 200

高齡綜接受助人的比率
Proportion of old-age CSSA recipients



註： 這個指標包括領取高齡健全人士綜援金、領取50% 或100% 殘疾綜援金或需人經常照顧長者綜援金的長者。

Note : This indicator includes the elderly CSSA recipients who are on the old age able-bodied rate, 50% or 100% disabled rate, or the rate for elderly in need of constant attendance.

資料來源：社會福利署。

Source : Social and Welfare Department.

17. 公立醫院及診所的醫療收費減免機制下的年長病人
Elderly patients under the medical fee waiver mechanism of public hospitals and clinics

	年份 <u>Year</u>	成功申請人數 Number of <u>successful applicants</u>	所佔人口的比率 Proportion in <u>population</u>
60歲或以上 Aged 60 or above	2004/05	18 300	1.7%
	2005/06	17 900	1.7%
	2006/07	16 300	1.5%
	2007/08	16 400	1.4%
	2008/09	16 100	1.3%
	2009/10	17 900	1.6%
	2010/11	14 700	1.3%
65歲或以上 Aged 65 or above	2004/05	16 200	2.0%
	2005/06	15 800	1.9%
	2006/07	14 300	1.7%
	2007/08	14 300	1.6%
	2008/09	13 900	1.6%
	2009/10	15 400	1.7%
	2010/11	12 600	1.4%

註：二零零四年四月以前沒有資料。
 Note : Data prior to April 2004 are not available.

資料來源：醫院管理局。
 Source : Hospital Authority.


18. 在私人臨時房屋及私人樓宇共住單位居住的長者
Elderly persons living in private temporary housing and private shared units

人數

Number

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
60歲或以上 Aged 60 or above	40 900	33 500	28 100	26 500	23 100	24 200	20 500	17 000	20 100	17 700
65歲或以上 Aged 65 or above	29 400	24 600	21 300	20 300	18 000	18 900	15 800	12 800	14 600	13 300

在私人臨時房屋及私人樓宇共住單位居住的長者的比率
Proportion of elderly persons living in private temporary housing and private shared units



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

第 IV 部分：社區的指標
Section IV : Indicators for the Community

19. 無業家庭* (按地區劃分)
Workless households* by district

地區 District	在相應地區所有住戶*所佔的比率(%) Proportion in all households* in the respective district (%)																			
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
中西區 Central and Western	3 900	5 700	5 100	5 500	6 000	4 500	4 600	4 900	6 200	6 200	4.9	7.6	6.9	7.3	7.4	5.8	5.8	6.1	8.0	7.9
灣仔 Wan Chai	3 000	3 600	3 800	3 800	4 000	4 100	4 100	3 200	4 100	4 000	6.0	7.6	8.0	7.9	7.8	8.8	8.6	6.6	8.5	8.3
東區 Eastern	8 900	11 300	12 200	12 000	11 700	11 200	11 100	11 900	11 800	12 700	5.2	6.7	7.3	7.2	6.9	6.7	6.6	7.1	7.1	7.8
南區 Southern	4 100	3 700	5 300	4 100	4 200	4 900	4 300	4 700	5 200	4 600	5.5	5.0	7.2	5.6	5.7	6.6	5.7	6.3	7.0	6.2
油尖旺 Yau Tsim Mong	8 500	9 200	10 800	9 800	8 200	8 000	9 200	7 100	8 500	8 300	10.0	10.7	12.9	11.0	9.2	10.4	7.8	9.4	9.0	9.0
深水埗 Sham Shui Po	10 200	11 400	14 200	11 800	10 200	12 500	12 200	12 400	12 700	12 500	10.3	11.3	14.4	11.8	10.0	11.7	11.4	11.6	12.0	11.8
九龍城 Kowloon City	7 500	9 500	10 100	8 200	8 800	9 000	8 100	7 600	9 800	10 200	7.3	9.5	10.1	8.3	8.7	8.8	8.1	7.6	9.5	9.9
黃大仙 Wong Tai Sin	7 800	12 100	12 500	12 000	11 800	11 300	10 800	9 500	12 200	11 500	6.7	10.3	10.6	10.3	10.1	9.6	9.1	8.0	10.2	9.7
觀塘 Kwun Tong	13 000	15 600	17 500	16 900	16 800	16 600	16 700	16 100	17 500	19 300	8.4	10.0	11.0	10.7	10.3	10.0	10.0	9.8	10.3	11.1
葵青 Kwai Tsing	9 600	11 300	14 300	14 800	14 400	13 500	13 700	13 500	15 000	15 000	7.5	8.4	10.5	10.7	10.1	9.2	9.4	9.1	10.0	10.2
荃灣 Tsuen Wan	4 800	5 900	6 100	6 400	7 000	6 900	6 500	5 900	6 700	5 800	6.2	7.6	7.5	7.9	8.3	7.9	7.4	6.8	7.7	6.6
屯門 Tuen Mun	9 700	13 900	14 900	14 300	14 500	14 700	13 100	13 900	14 300	14 400	6.9	9.6	10.0	9.7	9.7	9.9	8.6	9.1	9.4	9.5
元朗 Yuen Long	11 100	16 200	19 400	16 600	20 200	18 800	16 800	15 800	17 700	18 900	8.6	11.5	13.4	11.3	13.1	12.1	10.8	10.0	11.0	11.5
北區 North	7 800	7 700	8 100	8 800	7 200	7 700	8 100	8 700	9 100	9 000	9.6	9.8	10.2	10.9	9.1	9.7	9.7	10.4	10.6	10.4
大埔 Tai Po	5 000	7 100	7 500	7 600	7 800	7 300	6 200	6 500	7 000	6 700	6.1	8.7	9.3	9.2	9.7	8.9	7.5	7.8	8.5	8.0
沙田 Sha Tin	10 200	11 300	13 500	12 400	13 000	13 100	12 400	13 400	14 600	14 500	6.0	6.5	7.9	7.3	7.7	7.5	7.2	7.6	8.2	8.0
西貢 Sai Kung	4 700	6 200	7 200	8 500	7 800	8 000	6 900	7 100	8 300	7 400	5.1	6.1	6.9	7.2	6.5	6.7	5.7	5.8	6.7	6.0
離島 Islands	2 700	3 400	3 000	3 000	3 700	3 900	3 800	5 100	5 500	3 800	9.6	12.1	9.5	8.3	9.5	9.6	8.9	11.1	12.0	8.1
合計 Overall	132 400	165 000	185 600	176 400	177 000	175 800	168 800	167 300	186 200	184 900	7.1	8.7	9.8	9.2	9.0	8.9	8.5	8.3	9.2	9.1

二零一零年按地區劃分無業家庭*的比率
Proportion of workless households* by district, 2010



註：* 這指標並不包括只有60歲或以上成員的住戶。
由於進位原因，數字加起來可能與相應的總數略有出入。
Notes: * This indicator excludes households with members aged 60 or above only.
Figures may not add up to the respective totals due to rounding.


資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。
Source: General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

20. 入息低於平均綜援金額的家庭* (按地區劃分)

Households* with income below average CSSA payment by district

地區 District											在相應地區所有住戶*所佔的比率(%) Proportion in all households* in the respective district (%)									
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
中西區 Central and Western	6 200	7 600	5 700	6 100	5 300	4 500	4 700	4 800	6 200	4 600	7.7	10.1	7.7	8.1	6.6	5.9	5.9	6.0	7.9	5.9
灣仔 Wan Chai	3 700	4 400	4 300	3 700	3 300	3 700	2 800	2 900	3 400	3 300	7.5	9.2	9.1	7.7	6.4	7.8	6.0	6.0	7.2	6.9
東區 Eastern	16 300	17 900	16 200	15 700	14 400	12 200	12 200	11 200	14 000	12 300	9.6	10.6	9.6	9.4	8.6	7.3	7.3	6.7	8.4	7.5
南區 Southern	7 300	7 700	7 800	7 300	6 100	5 600	5 200	5 000	7 200	5 400	9.8	10.4	10.5	9.9	8.2	7.6	6.9	6.6	9.5	7.4
油尖旺 Yau Tsim Mong	13 500	14 300	13 900	11 500	10 100	9 000	8 900	7 900	9 800	8 100	15.8	16.7	16.6	12.9	11.3	10.3	10.0	8.8	10.8	8.8
深水埗 Sham Shui Po	17 300	18 800	18 200	16 400	13 300	14 300	12 800	13 100	15 300	14 100	17.4	18.7	18.3	16.5	13.1	13.5	12.0	12.3	14.4	13.3
九龍城 Kowloon City	11 800	12 400	13 000	10 100	8 800	9 000	8 900	7 400	9 500	9 000	11.4	12.4	12.9	10.2	8.7	8.8	8.9	7.4	9.2	8.7
黃大仙 Wong Tai Sin	16 300	19 600	18 800	17 500	16 100	13 800	13 500	12 300	15 600	14 000	14.0	16.6	16.0	15.0	13.8	11.8	11.3	10.4	13.1	11.9
觀塘 Kwun Tong	25 400	24 100	25 300	21 600	22 100	18 700	19 200	16 800	22 500	22 300	16.5	15.5	15.9	13.8	13.6	11.2	11.6	10.2	13.2	12.8
葵青 Kwai Tsing	21 200	20 900	22 700	21 700	19 500	17 900	17 100	16 000	20 200	20 300	16.5	15.6	16.7	15.7	13.6	12.2	11.7	10.8	13.5	13.7
荃灣 Tsuen Wan	7 900	9 700	9 400	8 900	8 900	7 800	6 600	6 600	9 000	7 600	10.2	12.6	11.7	11.0	10.5	8.9	7.5	7.6	10.4	8.7
屯門 Tuen Mun	22 700	27 200	27 400	27 400	23 900	23 700	21 400	18 400	22 600	20 300	16.2	18.8	18.3	18.5	16.0	15.8	14.1	12.0	15.0	13.4
元朗 Yuen Long	23 300	29 500	30 000	28 900	30 100	26 600	24 400	21 700	26 400	25 800	18.1	20.9	20.7	19.7	19.5	17.1	15.7	13.8	16.4	15.7
北區 North	15 900	15 600	14 900	14 100	12 200	11 800	11 400	10 900	13 400	11 900	19.6	19.8	18.8	17.5	15.5	14.9	13.6	12.9	15.5	13.7
大埔 Tai Po	11 900	14 300	13 500	12 900	11 800	10 800	9 100	7 500	10 000	7 900	14.5	17.4	16.8	15.7	14.6	13.2	11.0	9.0	12.0	9.4
沙田 Sha Tin	21 000	21 500	21 800	19 800	19 100	17 600	14 800	15 700	19 200	16 700	12.3	12.4	12.7	11.6	11.3	10.0	8.5	8.9	10.8	9.3
西貢 Sai Kung	10 600	12 600	13 500	13 500	12 000	11 000	8 600	7 900	11 900	8 700	11.5	12.6	12.9	11.5	10.1	9.2	7.2	6.5	9.6	7.0
離島 Islands	3 800	5 400	4 800	5 500	4 700	5 900	5 000	5 800	6 900	4 900	13.6	19.1	15.2	15.2	12.1	14.5	11.5	12.5	15.1	10.5
合計 Overall	256 100	283 600	281 200	262 600	241 800	223 700	206 500	192 000	243 100	217 500	13.8	15.0	14.8	13.6	12.3	11.3	10.4	9.6	12.0	10.7

二零一零年按地區劃分入息低於平均綜援金額的家庭*的比率
Proportion of households* with income below average CSSA payment by district, 2010



註： * 這指標並不包括只有60歲或以上成員的住戶。
由於進位原因，數字加起來可能與相應的總數略有出入。

Notes: * This indicator excludes households with members aged 60 or above only.
Figures may not add up to the respective totals due to rounding.


資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。
Source: General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

21. 入息低於平均綜援金額的單親家庭(按地區劃分)

Single parent households with income below average CSSA payment by district

地區 District	在相應地區所有住戶所佔的比率(%)																			
	Proportion in all households in the respective district (%)																			
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
中西區 Central and Western	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
灣仔 Wan Chai	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
東區 Eastern	1 300	1 300	*	1 100	1 000	1 100	*	*	1 400	1 300	0.8	0.7	*	0.6	0.6	*	*	0.8	0.8	
南區 Southern	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
油尖旺 Yau Tsim Mong	*	1 000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
深水埗 Sham Shui Po	1 200	1 700	1 700	1 700	1 300	1 100	1 200	1 300	1 600	1 300	1.2	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.5	
九龍城 Kowloon City	*	*	*	1 000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.1	*	*	*	*	*	
黃大仙 Wong Tai Sin	1 300	1 900	1 600	1 300	1 300	1 500	1 700	1 300	1 900	1 800	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.6	
觀塘 Kwun Tong	2 100	2 200	2 200	2 400	1 800	1 500	1 700	1 600	2 500	2 500	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.4	
葵青 Kwai Tsing	2 000	1 600	2 100	2 300	2 400	2 300	2 000	1 900	2 200	2 500	1.5	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.5	
荃灣 Tsuen Wan	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1 100	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.3
屯門 Tuen Mun	2 400	2 800	2 300	3 000	2 500	3 100	2 400	1 900	2 700	2 900	1.7	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.7	2.1	1.6	1.3	1.8	
元朗 Yuen Long	2 000	3 000	2 300	3 200	3 200	3 200	2 800	3 000	3 200	4 600	1.6	2.1	1.6	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.9	2.0	
北區 North	1 100	1 500	1 200	1 100	1 300	1 200	1 300	1 500	1 800	1 100	1.4	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	2.0	
大埔 Tai Po	1 100	1 200	1 400	1 200	1 200	1 200	*	*	*	*	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.4	*	*	*	
沙田 Sha Tin	1 800	2 100	2 000	1 800	1 800	1 600	1 900	2 200	2 200	1 700	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.3	
西貢 Sai Kung	*	*	*	1 200	1 500	1 200	*	1 000	1 200	*	*	*	*	1.0	1.2	1.0	*	0.9	1.0	
離島 Islands	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
合計 Overall	20 700	24 200	21 900	23 800	23 200	22 400	20 700	21 100	26 200	25 300	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.3	

二零一零年按地區劃分入息低於平均綜援金額的單親家庭的比率
Proportion of single parent households with income below average CSSA payment by district, 2010



註： * 有關數字乃基於很少樣本而編製，其精確度較低，故不予發表。
由於進位原因，數字加起來可能與相應的總數略有出入。
Notes: * Figures of small magnitude are suppressed owing to large sampling error.
Figures may not add up to the respective totals due to rounding.


資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。
Source: General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

22. 每月家庭入息中位數(按地區劃分)

Median monthly household income by district

地區 District	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
中西區 Central and Western	24,000	24,500	23,000	22,000	24,000	25,500	25,300	26,500	25,100	25,300
灣仔 Wan Chai	23,500	24,000	22,900	23,200	23,000	25,000	27,000	30,000	27,000	28,400
東區 Eastern	22,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	21,000	21,800	22,000	21,000	21,500
南區 Southern	22,000	20,500	19,700	19,900	19,600	21,700	20,500	21,400	20,000	20,000
油尖旺 Yau Tsim Mong	14,000	14,500	13,000	15,000	15,600	15,000	16,500	17,500	17,000	18,000
深水埗 Sham Shui Po	14,000	13,000	12,000	12,000	13,100	13,100	13,700	13,700	13,700	13,800
九龍城 Kowloon City	19,600	19,000	17,000	18,000	18,700	19,000	19,500	20,000	20,000	20,000
黃大仙 Wong Tai Sin	16,100	14,600	13,400	13,500	14,000	14,100	14,500	15,200	14,700	15,000
觀塘 Kwun Tong	15,100	14,700	13,400	13,100	13,100	14,200	15,000	15,000	14,200	14,000
葵青 Kwai Tsing	15,600	15,500	13,800	14,000	14,500	14,100	14,500	15,000	14,500	14,500
荃灣 Tsuen Wan	20,000	20,000	20,000	18,000	18,100	20,000	20,500	20,700	19,600	20,500
屯門 Tuen Mun	16,800	15,000	14,700	14,500	15,000	14,500	15,200	16,000	15,000	16,000
元朗 Yuen Long	15,700	14,500	13,500	13,000	13,000	13,700	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
北區 North	16,000	15,000	14,500	15,000	15,000	15,000	17,000	16,500	15,500	17,000
大埔 Tai Po	18,700	17,000	15,700	16,200	16,000	16,700	18,400	19,000	19,000	19,900
沙田 Sha Tin	20,000	20,000	18,500	18,400	18,500	19,000	19,600	19,900	19,000	19,600
西貢 Sai Kung	20,600	20,000	19,900	20,000	20,000	21,100	22,100	22,000	22,500	22,500
離島 Islands	17,100	15,500	15,000	15,000	16,500	16,000	18,000	17,900	18,000	17,800
合計 Overall	18,000	17,000	15,700	16,000	16,000	16,700	17,500	18,000	17,100	18,000

二零一零年按地區劃分每月家庭入息中位數
Median monthly household income by district, 2010



資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。


Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

23. 每月就業收入中位數(按地區劃分)

Median monthly employment earnings by district

地區 District	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
中西區 Central and Western	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	17,000	15,000	17,000	18,300	19,000
灣仔 Wan Chai	15,000	15,000	15,300	15,000	16,000	18,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	21,000
東區 Eastern	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
南區 Southern	12,000	11,000	11,000	10,500	10,500	11,900	11,500	11,000	12,000	11,500
油尖旺 Yau Tsim Mong	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
深水埗 Sham Shui Po	10,000	10,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,100	10,000	10,500
九龍城 Kowloon City	12,000	12,000	11,000	12,000	12,000	13,000	12,000	13,000	13,000	14,000
黃大仙 Wong Tai Sin	10,000	9,600	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,500	9,500	9,500	10,000
觀塘 Kwun Tong	10,000	10,000	9,500	9,000	9,000	9,500	10,000	10,100	10,000	10,000
葵青 Kwai Tsing	10,000	10,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,500	9,500	9,600
荃灣 Tsuen Wan	12,000	12,000	11,500	11,500	12,000	12,000	12,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
屯門 Tuen Mun	10,000	10,000	9,500	9,000	9,000	9,500	9,500	10,000	10,000	10,300
元朗 Yuen Long	10,000	10,000	10,000	9,500	9,500	9,500	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
北區 North	10,000	10,000	10,000	9,500	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,700
大埔 Tai Po	11,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,500	11,000	11,000	11,000
沙田 Sha Tin	12,000	11,000	11,000	10,500	10,600	11,000	11,000	11,900	11,000	12,000
西貢 Sai Kung	12,000	12,000	11,000	11,500	11,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,500	13,000
離島 Islands	12,000	11,000	11,000	10,500	11,000	10,000	12,000	11,000	12,000	11,500
合計 Overall	11,000	10,400	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,500	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000

二零一零年按地區劃分每月就業收入中位數
Median monthly employment earnings by district, 2010




資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。
Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.

24. 失業人士及失業率(按地區劃分)

Unemployed persons and unemployment rate by district

地區 District	失業人士 (人數) Unemployed persons (Number)										失業率* (%) Unemployment rate* (%)									
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
中西區 Central and Western	4 400	6 800	5 500	4 500	4 600	3 100	3 500	2 300	4 500	3 600	2.9	4.9	4.1	3.4	3.2	2.2	2.4	1.6	3.1	2.5
灣仔 Wan Chai	2 700	2 600	3 800	2 700	2 600	2 100	1 900	1 500	3 200	2 300	2.9	2.9	4.4	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.6	3.5	2.4
東區 Eastern	11 600	16 600	18 100	15 800	11 600	11 100	9 800	8 900	13 400	11 300	3.6	5.1	5.7	5.0	3.7	3.5	3.0	2.8	4.2	3.6
南區 Southern	5 900	8 400	8 800	7 100	5 200	5 100	4 200	4 500	6 400	5 400	4.0	5.6	6.1	4.8	3.6	3.6	2.8	3.0	4.3	3.6
油尖旺 Yau Tsim Mong	8 200	10 900	12 000	10 800	7 100	7 700	5 200	4 400	7 000	6 000	5.6	7.3	8.5	6.8	4.5	5.0	3.4	2.8	4.3	3.7
深水埗 Sham Shui Po	11 500	14 500	15 500	13 100	11 600	10 400	9 200	7 600	11 600	8 700	6.7	8.2	9.3	7.6	6.5	5.8	5.0	4.2	6.3	4.9
九龍城 Kowloon City	8 900	11 800	12 200	10 200	8 100	7 400	5 100	5 200	8 100	6 500	4.6	6.3	6.4	5.5	4.3	3.9	2.7	2.7	4.2	3.4
黃大仙 Wong Tai Sin	11 600	19 000	16 900	16 300	15 500	11 400	11 300	9 500	13 100	10 500	5.4	8.9	8.1	7.9	7.4	5.4	4.5	4.5	6.2	5.1
觀塘 Kwun Tong	16 700	23 800	24 300	23 400	19 000	15 100	13 500	12 500	19 300	16 600	6.0	8.5	8.7	8.3	6.6	5.2	4.6	4.3	6.4	5.6
葵青 Kwai Tsing	15 300	22 900	25 800	22 900	17 300	17 400	13 500	13 300	18 800	13 900	6.3	9.1	10.3	9.0	6.7	6.6	5.2	5.0	7.0	5.4
荃灣 Tsuen Wan	6 500	8 500	9 900	8 900	6 700	6 500	4 900	5 100	7 800	6 000	4.6	5.9	6.6	6.1	4.5	4.2	3.1	3.2	5.0	3.8
屯門 Tuen Mun	15 200	21 600	24 900	22 400	18 000	14 800	12 700	11 200	17 700	11 700	6.1	8.3	9.3	8.4	6.9	5.7	4.7	4.1	6.6	4.5
元朗 Yuen Long	14 300	24 700	27 500	20 700	20 700	14 100	13 900	12 000	18 700	15 500	6.3	10.0	10.8	8.2	8.1	5.5	5.2	4.4	6.8	5.5
北區 North	8 800	12 000	14 500	11 800	9 200	8 300	6 300	6 200	9 400	9 700	6.1	8.6	10.0	8.2	6.5	5.9	4.3	4.1	6.1	6.3
大埔 Tai Po	7 400	12 600	13 600	12 100	9 100	7 900	6 400	5 200	7 900	6 900	4.8	8.2	9.0	7.7	6.2	5.3	4.1	3.3	5.0	4.2
沙田 Sha Tin	14 600	21 900	24 300	19 900	16 400	15 500	12 500	10 200	14 400	14 300	4.5	6.6	7.4	6.1	5.2	4.7	3.8	3.1	4.4	4.3
西貢 Sai Kung	7 400	12 200	13 400	12 600	11 300	9 800	9 000	7 300	11 400	9 100	4.1	6.4	6.8	5.7	5.0	4.4	3.9	3.1	4.9	3.8
離島 Islands	3 200	3 400	4 200	4 000	3 500	3 200	2 900	3 200	4 000	3 300	6.9	7.1	7.9	6.6	5.2	4.7	3.9	4.2	5.1	4.2
合計 Overall	174 300	254 200	275 200	239 200	197 600	171 100	145 700	130 100	196 700	161 200	5.1	7.3	7.9	6.8	5.6	4.8	4.0	3.6	5.4	4.4

二零一零年按地區劃分的失業率*
Unemployment rate* by district, 2010



註： * 指一月至十二月不經季節性調整的失業率。

Note : * Referring to the unemployment rate in January - December which is not seasonally adjusted.

資料來源：政府統計處綜合住戶統計調查。
Source : General Household Survey, Census and Statistics Department.